Appeal: 12-6300 Doc: 14 Filed: 06/11/2012 Pg: 1 of 3

## UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 12-6300

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

LARRY DARNELL WARNER,

Defendant - Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, at Danville. Jackson L. Kiser, Senior District Judge. (4:04-cr-00016-JLK-RSB-1; 4:11-cv-80378-JLK-RSB)

Submitted: June 4, 2012 Decided: June 11, 2012

Before GREGORY, SHEDD, and AGEE, Circuit Judges.

Dismissed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Larry Darnell Warner, Appellant Pro Se. Anthony Paul Giorno, Assistant United States Attorney, Roanoke, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Larry Darnell Warner seeks to appeal the district court's order denying relief on his 28 U.S.C.A. § 2255 (West The order is not appealable unless a Supp. 2011) motion. circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C.  $\S$  2253(c)(1)(B) (2006). A certificate appealability will not issue absent "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) (2006). When the district court denies relief on the merits, a prisoner satisfies this standard by demonstrating that jurists would find that the reasonable district court's the constitutional claims is debatable or assessment of wrong. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003). When the district court denies relief on procedural grounds, the prisoner must demonstrate both that the dispositive procedural ruling is debatable, and that the motion states a debatable claim of the denial of a constitutional right. Slack, 529 U.S. at 484-85.

We have independently reviewed the record and conclude that Warner has not made the requisite showing. Accordingly, we deny a certificate of appealability and dismiss the appeal. We deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis and dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately Appeal: 12-6300 Doc: 14 Filed: 06/11/2012 Pg: 3 of 3

presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED